Perspectives Concerning the Influence of Protein Intake for Renal Function in Diabetic Nephropathy
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Abstract
Regarding the diet treatment of diabetic nephropathy, protein restriction has been recommended. American Diabetes Association (ADA) proposed protein restriction guidelines in the 2008 edition. However, this comment was deleted in the 2013/2019 edition, because of insufficient evidence. A recent report showed that the intake of plant protein has a protective effect on the decrease of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and the intake of animal protein has neither protection nor deterioration. There are controversies about the relationship between protein intake and the reduction of renal function. Further research will be expected for diabetic nephropathy, diabetic kidney disease (DKD), and chronic kidney disease (CKD).
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In recent years, diabetes, diabetic nephropathy, diabetic kidney disease (DKD), chronic kidney disease (CKD), and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) have been prevalent worldwide [1]. Among them, a protein-restricted diet has been often used for patients with impaired renal function. It was thought to be that as protein intake increases, renal function declines. There are controversies concerning this policy [2]. The authors have continued medical practice and research for patients with diabetes [3], CKD, dialysis [4], and ASCVD [5], associated with a low carbohydrate diet (LCD) [6].

From a historical point of view, American Diabetes Association (ADA) actually made recommendations on an adequate diet. Among them, the description of protein restriction for patients with kidney disease began in the 1987 edition [7]. After that, in the 2008 edition, there was a description of protein restriction of 0.8 to 1.0 g / kg/day for early nephropathy and 0.8 g / kg/day for late nephropathy [8]. Thus, it is true that there has been a recommendation of a long
history for protein restriction.

However, since the 2013 edition, the content of comments has changed due to various evidences. In other words, it has changed to the situation where the clinical significance of protein restriction has been denied [9]. This trend has been carried over in the 2019 edition as well [10]. There is some reason for these changes, in which they are decided by recommendation or evidence. The guideline was previously a consensus based on a draft recommendation, agreed by experts for diabetes. However, the management was changed by the comments from the International Network of Guidelines [11]. By complying with this, medical content with no scientific basis or not sufficient evidence has been excluded from the consensus. Therefore, the clinical significance of protein restriction has not been included.

On the other hand, a protein-restricted diet has been rather recommended for the protection of renal function from before to the present. In this regard, the Japan Diabetes Association (JDA) showed the comment that protein restriction may be effective in suppressing the progression of diabetic nephropathy after the overt nephropathy stage, but clinical evidence is insufficient. In detail, there is not enough scientific evidence for the possibility that protein intake may worsen renal function and that a protein-restricted diet may protect renal function.

Under these circumstances, a new report has been released. It was a cohort study of Australian women with the results that protein intake was involved in the protection of renal function. This revealed the opposite perspective of the relationship between protein intake and renal function [12]. This report is a subgroup analysis of a randomized controlled trial (RCT) called the Calcium Intake Fracture Outcome Study. They used 1,460 randomly selected cases from 5,586 cases [13]. For dietary information, the Dietary Questionnaire for Epidemiology Studies version 2 was used to digitize eating habits for the past 12 months. For nutritional analysis, the AUSTRalian Food and NUTrient Database (AUSNUT 2011-13) was used to determine protein intake in 0, 5, 10 years. In the clinical examination, a large number of biomarkers were analyzed, and the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) for 0, 5, 10 years was calculated from blood creatinine and cystatin C [14].

As a result, the average protein intake/day was 75.2 g in total, 51.4 g in animals, and 28.9 g in plants [12]. Total data showed that eGFR decreased at a rate of 0.64 mL/min/1.73m² per year. Increasing plant protein intake by 10 g/day slowed the decline in eGFR by 0.13 mL/min/1.73m² per year. This was not affected by the presence or absence of diabetes and hypertension. On the other hand, in the case of animal protein intake, the rate of decrease did not change regardless of rough calculation or adjustment calculation [12].

The characteristic of this result is that the intake of plant protein has a protective effect on the decrease of eGFR, and the intake of animal protein has neither protection nor deterioration. Similar results were found in the previous reports of the patients with type 2 diabetes [15] and non-diabetes [16]. Some reports revealed the opposite results. In the US cohort study Nurses' Health Study, protein intake and the rate of eGFR decline were positively correlated when eGFR was <80 mL/min/1.73m² [17]. In a Dutch study, protein intake was positively associated with the rate of eGFR decline in both plant and animal protein intake in patients with post-myocardial infarction [18]. From various data mentioned above, the following points are suggested: i) there is some hypothesis that protein intake reduces renal function, ii) however, there is a way to increase plant protein rather than animal protein, iii) a patient-centered approach will be recommended that presents treatment options to patients in the future.

There is a recent report examining the presence or absence of proteinuria and eGFR [19]. The decrease rate in eGFR was studied in diabetic patients with eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73m². When the nephropathy was during the microalbuminuria period, it was about 2.0 mL/min/1.73m² per year. In the case of overt proteinuria, it was 3.0 mL/min/1.73m² per year [19]. If eGFR decreases at a rate greater than 5.0 mL/min/1.73m² per year, it should be diagnosed as rapid progression and treated as a special diabetic case.
[20,21]. On the other hand, an RCT study revealed that there is no relationship between protein intake (compliance with a protein-restricted diet) and changes in eGFR and creatinine clearance (Ccr) [22].

As described above, it seems difficult to draw certain conclusions from many reports. When actually diagnosing patients with CKD, hypertension, and diabetes in clinical settings, it will be necessary for each patient to understand the stage and manage it adequately. The authors have continued to develop LCD, in which protein restriction in nephropathy has been an important issue. A study for LCD was reported, where 200 T2DM patients showed eGFR values at 0, 1, 2, and 3 years for 74.4, 73.6, 73.1, 72.1 mL/min/1.73 m², a decrease of 0.7 mL/min/1.73 m² per year [23]. To date, there have been no papers with evidence of a clear decrease in eGFR due to LCD, and authors will carefully observe the further development of research in the future.

References
Commentary


