Asploro Journal of Biomedical and Clinical Case Reports
(ISSN: 2582-0370)

Case Report

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36502/2020/ASJBCCR.6203

Chronic Ischemic Monomelic Neuropathy after Arteriovenous Fistula Creation: A Unique Presentation of Vascular Steal

Kaczynski RE[1*], Asaad Y[1], Valentin-Capeles N[1], Battista J[1]

[1]Department of Surgery, St. John's Episcopal Hospital, Far Rockaway, USA

Corresponding Author: **Rachel E. Kaczynski**
Address: Department of Surgery, St. John's Episcopal Hospital, Far Rockaway, New York 11691, USA; Tel: +1-512-762-6010; E-mail: rachel.kaczynski.do@gmail.com
Received date: 09 June 2020; **Accepted date**: 26 June 2020; **Published date**: 04 July 2020

Citation: Kaczynski RE, Asaad Y, Valentin-Capeles N, Battista J. Chronic Ischemic Monomelic Neuropathy after Arteriovenous Fistula Creation: A Unique Presentation of Vascular Steal. Asp Biomed Clin Case Rep. 2020 Jul 04;3(2):147-50.

Abstract

We discuss a case of a 58 year old male who presented for left upper extremity steal syndrome including ischemic monomelic neuropathy (IMN) 1.5 months after arteriovenous fistula creation. He presented after three surgical attempts to salvage his fistula with rest pain, complete loss of function with contracture of the 4th and 5th digits, and loss of sensation in the ulnar distribution for more than three weeks. At our institution, he underwent surgical ligation of the distal fistula and creation of a new fistula proximally, resulting in complete resolution of his vascular steal symptoms almost immediately despite the chronicity prior to surgical presentation. Our patient provides a unique perspective regarding dialysis access salvage versus patient quality of life. The patients' functional status and pain levels should take precedence over salvage of an arteriovenous access site, and early ligation of the access should be completed prior to chronic IMN development. However, if a patient presents late along the IMN course, we recommend strong consideration of access ligation in order to attempt to regain the full neurovascular function of the extremity as we experienced in our patient.

Keywords

Vascular Steal, Ischemic Monomelic Neuropathy, Arteriovenous, AV Fistula, Steal Syndrome

Abbreviations

IMN: Ischemic Monomelic Neuropathy, DRIL: Distal Revascularization Interval Ligation, PACU: Post-Anesthesia Care Unit

Introduction

We present the case of a 58 year old male with left upper extremity steal syndrome including ischemic monomelic neuropathy (IMN) 1.5 months after arteriovenous fistula creation. Surgical ligation of the distal fistula and creation of new fistula proximally resulted in the complete resolution of his vascular steal symptoms almost immediately despite the chronicity prior to surgical presentation.

Case Report

A 58 year old male presented for left upper extremity steal syndrome after three surgical salvage attempts at an outside institution in the preceding 1.5

months. He sought out a second opinion surgical consultation for reported severe rest pain for 22 days with the loss of function and sensation of his ulnar distributed forearm and hand. He reported burning pain 10/10 radiating from left forearm to left shoulder and presented with contracted left 4th and 5th digits. His past medical history included hypertension, end-stage renal disease on hemodialysis, hyperlipidemia, cerebrovascular accident with residual left sided weakness, and insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. His past surgical history included a right internal jugular tunneled dialysis catheter and left forearm fistula, subsequent tunneled dialysis catheter removal, distal revascularization interval ligation (DRIL) to left forearm fistula, and two other salvage procedures to left forearm fistula, the details of which are unknown to our team. He reported a history of tobacco use, 1 pack per day for 35 years, for which he quit 3 years prior.

On physical exam at his initial consultation, our patient had a left forearm arteriovenous fistula with excellent thrill and bruit, exquisite tenderness to palpation, with intact pressure dressing to dialysis puncture sites. He had motor weakness with complete loss of active range of motion of 4th and 5th digits on the left hand, and decreased passive range of motion due to contracture of these digits. He also had a complete loss of sensation of the ulnar distributed hand including 4th and 5th digits. His 1st through 3rd digits had full active range of motion and sensation, and he had 2+ capillary refills in all five digits. There were no scars present on the medial forearm or ulnar distributed wrist or hand.

Vein mapping of the arm revealed a patent radial artery proximal to and at the anastomosis but occluded distal to the fistula anastomosis with peak velocities 227cm/sec proximal and 318cm/sec at the anastomosis. Venous outflow revealed peak systolic velocities at the anastomosis of 124cm/sec with no outflow stenosis. No deep venous thrombosis of the left internal jugular, subclavian, axillary, or brachial veins was observed, and there was no thrombus in the basilic or cephalic veins of the left upper extremity. His venous diameter measurements were as follows:

1. Cephalic vein: Proximal humerus 4.4mm
    - Mid-humerus 5.4mm
    - Antecubital 6.1mm
    - Proximal forearm 5.7mm

2. Basilic vein: Proximal humerus 4.9mm
    - Mid-humerus 4.5mm
    - Antecubital 3.8mm
    - Upper forearm 2.8mm
    - Mid-forearm 2.6mm

3. Arterial measurements as follows:
    - Left brachial 191 cm/sec, 4.8mm in diameter
    - Left radial occluded distally

Based on our mapping and his surgical scarring it appeared the patient had a previous radiocephalic fistula of the distal forearm prior to surgical salvage attempts. Therefore, he was taken to the operating room for surgical ligation of his fistula at the distal forearm. After the distal fistula was suture ligated and the wound was closed, attention was paid to the antecubital fossa for creation of a new brachiocephalic arteriovenous fistula. After careful lysis of adhesions through previous surgical scars a 7cm segment of the cephalic vein was dissected until freely mobile, and a 6mm opening in the brachial artery was anastomosed to a spatulated cephalic vein using 6-0 prolene suture in a running fashion. Adequate back-bleeding of both vessels was observed prior to the completion of the anastomosis. There was evidence of an excellent thrill in the cephalic vein and strong palpable brachial and ulnar artery pulses distal to the anastomosis. Confirmation of this flow was completed using a Doppler probe with excellent bruit auscultated. Postoperatively, there was continued excellent thrill over the cephalic vein which was confirmed by bruit with Doppler ultrasound. A right internal jugular tunneled dialysis catheter was then placed prior to transfer to the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU).

Immediately post-operative in the PACU, the patient noticed a full active range of motion of all digits on his left hand and returning sensation of the 4th and 5th digits. On postoperative day 1, his post-operative pain was controlled with as needed medications, he

reported full resolution of previously reported burning pain, and had subjective improvements in sensation within the ulnar distribution. He was subsequently discharged after successful dialysis through his tunneled catheter. He was seen three weeks post-operative for staple removal and assessment of his fistula which continued to show excellent thrill and bruit with distal pulses intact. He demonstrated full range of motion of all digits on his left hand and had improved sensation of his ulnar hand with complete return to his baseline function. He was seen again at two months post-operative at which point he was cleared for dialysis through his arteriovenous fistula.

Discussion

Distal ischemic steal syndrome, or dialysis access steal syndrome, has been reported in 1-20% of patients with upper extremity access [1]. It can usually be diagnosed clinically, manifesting in a variety of symptoms including coolness, pallor, mild paresthesia, pain during dialysis, pain at rest, paralysis, contracture, ulceration, tissue necrosis, and loss of digits.

The extent of the ischemia can be classified into one of four stages [2]:

Stage I: pallor, cyanosis, or coolness of hand without pain

Stage II: pain during exercise or hemodialysis

Stage III: rest pain

Stage IV: ulcers, necrosis, or gangrene

Critical ischemia is defined as being Stage III or Stage IV disease. If left untreated, critical ischemia may result in irreversible neurovascular compromise and the loss of fingers or the whole hand. Ischemic steal syndrome is caused by a significant decrease or reversal of blood flow through the arterial segment distal to the usually newly created vascular access because of the pressure differential created by the access site. This may be due to low resistance in the arteriovenous access or due to hypoperfusion secondary to distal arteriopathy [1].

In addition to retrograde flow, ischemic symptoms may be caused or exacerbated by comorbidities such as diabetes, smoking, and peripheral vascular disease. It is often difficult to distinguish the extent of the role of each of these comorbidities in ischemic symptoms. Our patient had contributing comorbidities including diabetes and a previous history of cigarette smoking. Furthermore, in uremic diabetics with pre-existing neuropathy, steal syndrome can also cause decreased blood flow in the vasa nervorum, causing ischemic monomelic neuropathy (IMN) of the ulnar, radial, and median nerves. Patients can develop severe sensorimotor dysfunction of the affected nerves without obvious tissue loss [3]. In such patients, ischemia that is not identified and reversed immediately may result in irreversible neural damage and permanent impairment of the involved extremity [4,5].

A case report published the same month in which our patient presented represented a similar patient presentation after one month of IMN with slightly less contracture. After ligation of the fistula, the patient showed vast improvement in the median nerve distribution, however, it showed a slower return to function than the immediate results we experienced in our patient [6]. Another case reported in 2017, claimed IMN within hours of arteriovenous graft creation at the upper arm, subsequently ligated the graft on post-operative day 1 when the symptoms continued to worsen [7].

Conclusion

We believe our patient had stage III vascular steal syndrome resulting in severe rest pain and ischemic monomelic neuropathy of his left upper extremity with at least 22 days of neural damage in the ulnar distribution prior to his consultation for the second opinion. We immediately operated to surgically ligate the fistula and reverse his vascular steal. Given the current literature, we did not expect any functional return of his left hand or sensation in the ulnar distribution due to the chronic nature of his ischemic monomelic neuropathy, and we hoped only for the improvement in his rest pain with ligation of his fistula. But to our surprise, immediately post-operative he demonstrated near complete motor function of his hand and improvement in sensation as early as post-operative day 1 with full return of motor and sensory function on the third post-operative week. Our patient

provides a unique presentation of full functional and sensory return after more than three weeks of vascular steal, and should provide an important perspective regarding dialysis access salvage versus patient quality of life. The patients' functional status and pain levels should take precedence over salvage of an arteriovenous access site, and early ligation of the access should be completed prior to chronic IMN development. However, if a patient presents late along the IMN course, we recommend strong consideration of access ligation in order to attempt to regain the full neurovascular function of the extremity as we experienced in our patient.

Acknowledgements and Disclosures

None of the authors listed for this publication have any financial support or conflicts of interest. We have informed consent from our patient for publication of his case in compliance with HIPAA.

References

[1] Mascia S, Spiezia S, Assanti A, De Nicola L, Stanzione G, Bertino V, Zamboli P. Ischemic steal syndrome in a hemodialysis patient: The roles of Doppler ultrasonography and dynamic Doppler studies in diagnosis and treatment selection. J Ultrasound. 2010 Sep;13(3):104-106. [**PMID**: 23396797]

[2] Mickley V. Steal syndrome--strategies to preserve vascular access and extremity. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2008 Jan;23(1):19-24. [**PMID**: 17905806]

[3] Tordoir JH, Dammers R, van der Sande FM. Upper extremity ischemia and hemodialysis vascular access. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2004 Jan;27(1):1-5. [**PMID**: 14652830]

[4] Thermann F, Kornhuber M. Ischemic monomelic neuropathy: a rare but important complication after hemodialysis access placement--a review. J Vasc Access. 2011 Apr-Jun;12(2):113-19. [**PMID**: 21360465]

[5] Thimmisetty RK, Pedavally S, Rossi NF, Fernandes JAM, Fixley J. Ischemic Monomelic Neuropathy: Diagnosis, Pathophysiology, and Management. Kidney Int Rep. 2016 Aug 27;2(1):76-79. [**PMID**: 29142943]

[6] Datta S, Mahal S, Govindarajan R. Ischemic Monomelic Neuropathy after Arteriovenous Fistula Surgery: Clinical Features, Electrodiagnostic Findings, and Treatment. Cureus. 2019 Jul 22;11(7):e5191. [**PMID**: 31565598]

[7] Ramdon A, Breyre A, Kalapatapu V. A Case of Acute Ischemic Monomelic Neuropathy and Review of the Literature. Ann Vasc Surg. 2017 Jul;42:301.e1-301.e5. [**PMID**: 28341506]