Clinical Influence of Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) Inhibitors for Cardiovascular and Renal Points of View
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Abstract
Diabetes has been a major medical and health problem worldwide. Adequate glycemic control has shown a clinically beneficial effect for long-term prognosis, with recent anti-diabetic agents. There are some mega studies concerning Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors. They are i) Canagliflozin cardioVascular Assessment Study (CANVAS), ii) Canagliflozin and Renal Events in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation (CREDENCE), iii) Dapagliflozin Effect on CardiovascuLAR Events (DECLARE) -TIMI 58, iv) Empagliflozin Cardiovascular Outcome Event Trial in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients-Removing Excess Glucose (EMPA-REG OUTCOME) study. Current topics of SGLT2 inhibitors for cardiovascular and renal points of view were described.
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Diabetes has been a major medical and health problem worldwide. The usefulness of strict glycemic control in early-stage after the onset of diabetes has been reported in UKPDS33, UKPDS34 and UKPDS80 [1-3]. Adequate glycemic control has shown a beneficial effect on long-term prognosis 10-20 years later. On the other hand, strict glycemic control is said to have little benefit for patients with type 2 diabetes, who has diabetes for more than 10 years. These data can be found in three large clinical trials which are ACCORD, ADVANCE, and VADT [4-6]. The similar results have been observed in rather recent reports which are long-term follow-up studies [7-9]. Furthermore, there was a J-D0IT3 study, in which strict control of blood pressure, lipids and glucose have been continued and compared with conventional therapy. In this RCT study, significant improvement of cardiovascular prognosis was not found [10].

Regarding the target of glycemic control, the
American College of Physicians (ACP) has published a statement, in which the target HbA1c would be at 7-8% in almost the diabetic patients [11]. The reason for the comment would be from a higher risk of hypoglycemia in previous oral medicine and the reduced risk of hypoglycemia in currently indicated anti-diabetic agents. Recently, highly safer oral medications have become widespread, including Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors and Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors. Authors and colleagues have reported the clinical efficacy of SGLT2 inhibitor for glucose variability in rather a short period [12]. Current topics on these drugs are described in this article.

There are some mega studies concerning SGLT2 inhibitors. They are i) Canagliflozin cardioVascular Assessment Study (CANVAS), ii) Canagliflozin and Renal Events in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation (CREDENCE), iii) Dapagliflozin Effect on CardiovascuLAR Events (DECLARE) -TIMI 58, iv) Empagliflozin Cardiovascular Outcome Event Trial in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients-Removing Excess Glucose (EMPA-REG OUTCOME) study.

Concerning the SGLT2 inhibitor, this was known as CREDENCE Clinical Trials [13]. Patients with T2DM and albuminuric CKD were assigned to receive canagliflozin. They included 4401 subjects with 63 years old on average. Subjects were divided randomly into two groups, which were the canagliflozin group and the placebo group. The relative risk of primary outcome was 30% lower in the former group (Hazard ratio (HR) 0.70 [95% CI: 0.59 to 0.82]). A doubling of creatinine level or death from renal causes was lower by 34% (HR 0.66 [95% CI 0.53 to 0.81]), and the relative risk of end-stage kidney disease was lower by 32% (HR 0.68 [95% CI 0.54 to 0.86]). The former group showed a lower risk of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarct, and stroke (HR 0.80), hospitalization from heart failure (HR 0.61). In contrast, no significant differences were found in rates of amputation (HR 1.11) or fracture (HR 0.98).

As to the effects of SGLT2 inhibitors in renal failure, there was a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled, cardiovascular or kidney outcome trials [14]. From data of 2085 records, four studies had met the inclusion criteria. They included three SGLT2 inhibitors, which were empagliflozin (EMPA-REG OUTCOME) [15,16], canagliflozin (CANVAS Program and CREDENCE) [17], and dapagliflozin (DECLARE-TIMI 58) [18,19]. Among them, there included 38723 subjects, 335 end-stage kidney disease, and 252 dialysis or transplantation or died of kidney disease. By the administration of SGLT2 inhibitors, the risk of required dialysis was reduced by 33% (HR 0.67). Further, the risk of end-stage kidney disease and acute kidney injury was reduced (HR 0.65, and 0.75, respectively). Consequently, SGLT2 inhibitors decreased the risk of dialysis, transplantation, or death due to kidney disease. These results suggest the substantive evidence of SGLT2 inhibitors for preventing major kidney outcomes in T2DM.

Furthermore, a systematic review and meta-analysis was reported to assess the efficacy and safety of SGLT2 inhibitors for T2DM and CKD [20]. Patients were selected as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 mL/min/1.73 m2. As a result, data was collected from 7363 participants in 27 studies. SGLT2 inhibitors decreased HbA1c by 0.29% with body weight, blood pressure, and albuminuria. SGLT inhibitors reduced the risk of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction or nonfatal stroke (RR, 0.81) and heart failure (RR, 0.61). Moreover, SGLT inhibitors reduced the declined slope of eGFR a year and decreased the risk of the composite renal outcome (HR 0.71) [20]. Consequently, SGLT2 inhibitors can reduce the risk of cardiovascular and renal exacerbation in T2DM and CKD, without evidence of additional safety concerns.

According to the primary analysis of the CANVAS, canagliflozin showed a beneficial effect on cardiovascular and renal outcomes in T2DM [17,13]. However, it showed an increased risk of lower extremity amputation. Consequently, the secondary analyses were conducted [21]. There were 187 (1.8%) participants with atraumatic lower extremity amputations (minor 71%, major 29%), and the rates were 6.30 vs 3.37 / 1000 participant-years with two
groups of canagliflozin vs placebo (HR 1.97 [95% CI 1.41 to 2.75]). The risk was similar to those of ischemic and infective etiologies and to 100 mg and 300 mg doses. Anticipated risk factors for amputation were identified, including a prior history of amputation (HR 21.31 [95% CI 15.40 to 29.49]), peripheral vascular disease and neuropathy. However, there was no specific etiological mechanism or at-risk subgroup for canagliflozin.

Furthermore, the study of the risk for lower limb amputations with SGLT-2 inhibitors were conducted [22]. A total of 27 trials were collected and investigated. They include Canagliflozin, Dapagliflozin, Empagliflozin, Ertugliflozin and analyzed cases were 294,07 cases in the SGLT2 group and 21,556 cases in the control group. The overall incidence of peripheral artery disease (PAD) was increased with SGLT-2 inhibitors, in which the Mantel-Haenszel odds ratio (MH-OR) with 95% Confidence Interval was 1.26 (1.04, 1.52). The increase in risk was statistically significant only with canagliflozin. MH-OR for amputation in the cardiovascular safety trials with SGLT-2 inhibitors was 1.22 [0.59-2.52] (not significant). Consequently, there is no reason to believe that empagliflozin or dapagliflozin increases the risk of either PAD of lower limb amputations. Canagliflozin could be associated with a specific risk, which needs to be further investigated [22].

There was a study for whether SGLT-2-I are associated with a higher risk of lower-extremity amputation than DPP-4 inhibitors and sulphonylureas [23]. It was a retrospective cohort study, from 328,150 applicants aged 18-64. As a result, the estimated hazard of lower-extremity amputation was increased in SGLT2 inhibitors compared to DPP-4 inhibitors (adjusted Hazard Ratio (aHR) 1.69, 95% CI 1.20-2.38). In contrast, it was a similar value (aHR 1.02) or non-metformin, non-SGLT2 inhibitors (aHR 1.02).

In summary, recent topics for the effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on cardiovascular and renal function were introduced. We hope that this description will help future diabetes care and research.
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